Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/563,258	IWATSU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SON T. HOANG	2165
All Participants: Status of Application: Allowable		
(1) SON T. HOANG (Examiner).	(3)	
(2) EDWARD TRACY (Attorney of record).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2010	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Final rejections sent out on December 3, 2009.		
Claims discussed: 1, 10, 19, 24		
Prior art documents discussed: Yuji (Pub. No. JP 2003-173278), Elde et al. (Pat. No. US 6,243,774).		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Neveen Abel-Jalil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature - if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Application No. 10/563,258

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

- 1. Examiner suggested adding dependent claim 30 to independent claims 1, 10, 19, and 24.
- 2. Examiner suggested changing the words "if" to "when" in the claimed language.
- 3. Examiner suggested adding a computer processor within the body of independent claim 24.
- 4. Applicant agreed to Examiner's suggestion and authorized for an examiner's amendment.